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Summary of the Coverage Appeals Regulation
Consumer Coverage Appeals Rights

• The Affordable Care Act ensures a consumer’s right to appeal health insurance plan decisions—to ask that a plan or issuer reconsider its decision to deny payment for a service or treatment, or to rescind coverage.

• If the plan upholds its initial decision, consumers may be eligible for a second look by an independent 3rd party reviewer.
• Established by Public Health Service Act section 2719. Implementing regulations appear at 45 C.F.R. 147.136.


• These rules do not apply to grandfathered health plans under section 1251 of the Affordable Care Act.
Internal Claims and Appeals
Definitions

• **Claim** – Any request for benefits including pre-service (prior authorization) and post-service (reimbursement)

• **Rescission** – A cancellation or discontinuance of coverage that has retroactive effect

• **Internal appeals** (*conducted by plan/issuer*)
  – Adverse benefit determination
  – Final internal adverse benefit determination

• **External review** (*conducted by Independent Review Organization (IRO)*)) – Review of a plan or issuer’s denial of coverage services
  – Results in a final binding external review decision-issued by IRO
How much time do plans/issuers have to make a benefit determination?

- Pre-service (prior authorization): 15 calendar days
- Post-service: 30 calendar days
- Urgent care: maximum 72 hours (or less, depending on medical urgency of case)
Notice Requirements for Adverse Benefit Determinations

1. Describe reason(s) including specific plan provisions, scientific judgment used
2. Describe any additional information needed to improve or complete the claim
3. Provide sufficient information to identify claim
4. Notification of internal appeals & external review rights
5. Notification about health insurance consumer assistance or ombudsman office availability
6. Provide notification that Culturally & Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) are available
Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Manner

- **Applicable Non-English Language**: A non-English language is applicable when 10% of claimant’s county is literate only in the same non-English language(s).

- If threshold is met, plans and issuers are required to provide:
  - Oral language services and assistance with filing claims and appeals (including external review) in any applicable non-English language;
  - Notices, upon request, in any applicable non-English language; and
  - In English versions of notices, a statement prominently displayed in the non-English language indicating how to access the language services provided by the plan or issuer.
Internal Appeals

• What can be appealed?
  – All denials, reduction, termination, or failure to provide or make payments (in whole or in part) for a benefit.
  – Including rescissions, issues of eligibility for coverage, medical necessity denials and experimental/investigational denials.

• How long to file an appeal?
  – 180 days from receipt of denial.

• How to file an appeal?
  – In writing (unless urgent – then oral okay).
• How many levels of internal appeal?
  – Group market: 1 or 2
  – Individual market: 1

• How long before a decision is made for internal appeals?
  – Pre-service (prior-authorization): 30 calendar days
  – Post-service: 60 calendar days
  – Urgent care: maximum 72 hours (or less, depending on medical urgency of case)
Internal Appeals continued

• Claimant right to full and fair review.
  – Claimant has opportunity to see and respond to any evidence/rationale under consideration.
  – No conflict of interest for reviewers.

• Requirement to provide continued coverage pending the outcome of an appeal – concurrent care decision – if the plan or issuer has approved an ongoing course of treatment, the insurer must provide opportunity for an appeal or review before reducing/terminating coverage (except where reduction or termination is due to a plan amendment or termination).
Definition: (1) Standard timeframe could seriously jeopardize claimant’s life or health or ability to regain maximum function; (2) Or in the opinion of a physician with knowledge of the claimant’s medical condition, would subject the claimant to severe pain that cannot be adequately managed without the care or treatment that is the subject of the claim.

- May file orally and notice of decision may be oral (must be followed by a written notice within 3 days).
- Individuals in urgent and concurrent care situations may initiate an internal appeal and external review simultaneously.
Special Situations – Deemed Exhaustion

An internal appeal is deemed exhausted in the following cases:

• Issuer waives internal appeal;
• Urgent care situations (expedited external review may be initiated at the same time as expedited internal appeals); and Failure to comply with all requirements of the internal appeals process except in cases where the violation was:
  1. De minimis;
  2. Non-prejudicial;
  3. Attributable to good cause or matters beyond the plan’s or issuer’s control;
  4. In the context of an ongoing good-faith exchange of information; and
  5. Not reflective of a pattern or practice of non-compliance.
State External Review
• HHS evaluated State laws and found that the laws either met NAIC-parallel standards, NAIC-similar standards or neither.

• HHS issued initial State determinations by July 31, 2011.

• States may request redeterminations at any time.

• Plans and issuers in states with laws meeting neither the NAIC-parallel nor NAIC-similar standards must participate in a Federally-administered process.
Examples of Minimum Requirements for State External Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Federal Minimum Standards (NAIC-parallel) (effective 1/1/16)</th>
<th>Similar Standards (NAIC-similar) (1/1/12 – 1/1/16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>External review of adverse benefit determinations (ABDs) based on medical necessity, appropriateness, health care setting, level of care, or effectiveness of covered benefit</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filing Fee</td>
<td>Filing fee may not exceed $25; refund if ABD is reversed; waiver for financial hardship; $75 annual cap; AND cost of external review borne by issuer</td>
<td>Filing fee may not exceed $25; AND cost of IRO borne by issuer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Standard External Review Decision</td>
<td>Within 45 days</td>
<td>Within 60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to File an External Review Request</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>60 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consumer Appeals Process

External Review Process

Fully Insured Health Plans, including QHPs

States without compliant process
- HHS-Administered Process
- Private Accredited IRO Process

States WITH compliant external review process
- Use the State process
Federal External Review Programs

HHS-Administered
&
Private Accredited IRO Process
Scope of Claims Eligible for Federal External Review

Applies to adverse benefit determinations (or final internal adverse benefit determinations) involving:

1. Medical Judgment
   - INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, determinations that involve medical necessity, appropriateness, health care setting, level of care, effectiveness of a covered benefit, experimental and investigational treatments, as determined by the external reviewer;
   - EXCLUDES determinations that involve only contractual or legal interpretation or those related to participant or beneficiary eligibility for coverage under the terms of a group health plan, without any use of medical judgment.

2. Rescissions of coverage (whether or not the rescission has any effect on any particular benefit at that time).
Federal External Review Process Requirements

• Defined more broadly than the state external review process;
• Protections are similar to those in the NAIC Uniform Model Act;
• Standards include:
  – Description of external review initiation; procedures for prelim. review of claim; minimum qualifications for IROs; process of approving IROs; random IRO assignment; standards for IRO decision-making; rules for providing notice of a final external review decision.
Standards include (cont.):

- Rules for expedited review of adverse benefit determinations and final internal adverse benefit determination;
- Standards for evaluating claims involving experimental/investigational treatments;
- Binding IRO decisions;
- IRO reporting requirements; and
- Notice of right to external review (on ABDs and within plan or policy documents).
HHS-Administered External Review Process

• Includes minimum consumer protections in NAIC-parallel standards;
  – Federal government pays cost of appeal and no filing fee for consumers.

• Applies to adverse benefit determinations (or final internal adverse benefit determinations) that involve medical judgment and rescissions; and

• Applies for health plans subject to the Federally-administered external review process that do not elect the private accredited IRO process.
Private Accredited IRO External Review Process

• Plans must contract with at least 3 IROs and rotate external review assignments among them.

• The plan must use an alternative process for IRO assignment. However, the Departments will expect plans to document how any alternative process constitutes random assignment and how it ensures that the process is independent and unbiased.

• The plan is not permitted to provide financial incentives to IROs based on the likelihood that the IRO will support the denial of benefits.
# How to Request an Appeal or External Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Who Receives the Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal Appeals</td>
<td>Health Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Review – State Process</td>
<td>The State Department of Insurance, the State Department of Health, or the Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Review – Federally-Administered Process (Plans in AL, AK, FL, GA, LA, MT, PA, WV, &amp; WI)</td>
<td>Health Plan or HHS-Administered Process Contractor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Where to File Complaints Regarding the Coverage Appeals and External Review Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Who Should Receive the Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claims and Internal Appeals</td>
<td>Either the State Department of Insurance or the State Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Review – State Process</td>
<td>Either the State Department of Insurance or the State Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Review – Federally-Administered Process</td>
<td>CCIIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Plans in AL, AK, FL, GA, LA, MT, PA, WV, &amp; WI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A: Summary of Appeals Regulation

- IFR published July 23, 2010
  - Amended IFR, June 24, 2011
- Selected sub-regulatory guidance
  - DOL Technical Release 2010-01, August 23, 2010
  - HHS Technical Guidance, August 26, 2010 (Description of Interim HHS Federal Process)
  - HHS Technical Guidance, March 15, 2013 (Extension of the Transition Period for the Temporary NAIC-Similar Standards)
Appendix B: Resources

MAXIMUS Website:  www.externalappeal.com

Consumer Information:  www.healthcare.gov


## Appendix C: Strict v. Similar Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Federal Minimum Standards (NAIC-parallel) (effective 1/1/16)</th>
<th>Similar Standards (NAIC-similar) (1/1/12 – 1/1/16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>External review of adverse benefit determinations (ABDs) based on medical necessity, appropriateness, health care setting, level of care, or effectiveness of a covered benefit</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notice</strong></td>
<td>Effective written notice of right to external review</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deemed Exhaustion</strong></td>
<td>1. Issuer (or plan) waives; 2. Failure to comply with internal appeals; and 3. Claimant simultaneously requests expedited internal appeal &amp; external review</td>
<td>1. Internal appeals process timelines unmet; and 2. In an urgent care situation, claimant files for external review without exhausting internal appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filing Fee</strong></td>
<td>Filing fee may not exceed $25; refund if ABD is reversed; waiver for financial hardship; $75 annual cap; AND cost of external review borne by issuer</td>
<td>Filing fee may not exceed $25; AND cost of IRO borne by issuer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strict v. Similar Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Federal Minimum Standards (NAIC-parallel) (effective 1/1/16)</th>
<th>Similar Standards (NAIC-similar) (1/1/12 – 1/1/16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claims Threshold</td>
<td>No claims threshold</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to File an External Review Request</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO Assignment</td>
<td>IRO assigned on a random, rotational, or independent/impartial basis</td>
<td>IRO assigned impartially with no claimant or issuer discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO Accreditation</td>
<td>State must maintain a list of nationally accredited IROs</td>
<td>Process for quality assurance of IROs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Strict v. Similar Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Federal Minimum Standards (NAIC-parallel) (effective 1/1/16)</th>
<th>Similar Standards (NAIC-similar) (1/1/12 – 1/1/16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conflict of Interest (COI)</td>
<td>No IRO/clinical reviewer conflict of interest (no material, professional, familial, or financial COI with issuer, claimant, provider, etc.)</td>
<td>If the State contracts with or identifies 1 or more IROs, the State must ensure COI protections on the part of the IRO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Submission of Additional Information    | 1. IRO must consider additional information submitted by the claimant  
2. Claimant notified of right to submit additional information  
3. Claimant has 5 business days to submit  
4. IRO has 1 business day to forward to issuer (or plan) | -- |
| Binding                                 | Binding on plan or issuer and claimant                      | Binding on plan or issuer and claimant               |
| Notice of Standard External Review Decision | Within 45 days                                               | Within 60 days                                      |
## Strict v. Similar Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Federal Minimum Standards (NAIC-parallel) (effective 1/1/16)</th>
<th>Similar Standards (NAIC-similar) (1/1/12 – 1/1/16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Expedited External Review Decision</td>
<td><strong>Within 72 hours maximum (or less depending on medical urgency); if decision is provided orally, then written decision must be sent within 48 hours of oral decision</strong></td>
<td><strong>Within 4 business days (depending on medical exigencies); if decision is provided orally, then written decision must be sent within 48 hours of oral decision</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of External Review</td>
<td>Description of external review process in summary plan descriptions (SPDs)</td>
<td>Effective written notice of right to external review required in SPDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Records</td>
<td>IRO must maintain written records for 3 years; substantially similar to §15 of NAIC Uniform Model Act</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental/Investigational Review Procedures</td>
<td>Process for experimental/investigational treatment, substantially similar to §10 of NAIC Model Act</td>
<td>Must be appealable, and at least be treated same as medical necessity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>